U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH and HUMAN SERVICES

SUBSTANCE ABUSE and MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION CENTER for SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT

"New Paths to Recovery"

Buprenorphine Community Education Forum

New York, New York

Hosted by SAMHSA/CSAT

+++

Monday, August 4, 2003

+++

[TRANSCRIPT PREPARED FROM A VIDEOTAPE.]

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

PARTICIPANTS:

Co-Moderators

H. Westley Clark, M.D., J.D., M.P.H., CAS, FASAM, Director, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), SAMHSA, DHHS

Sheila Harmison, D.S.W., L.C.S.W.,

Special Assistant to the Director, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), SAMHSA, DHHS

Speakers

Martha Sullivan, D.S.W., Deputy Commissioner, New York City Department of Mental Hygiene Promotion and Chemical Dependency

New York State Assemblyman Jeffrey Dinowitz, 81st District, Chair, Committee on Alcohol and Drug Abuse

Sheila Harmison, D.S.W., L.C.S.W.,

Special Assistant to the Director, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), SAMHSA, DHHS

Steven S. Kipnis, M.D., FACP, FASAM, Medical Director, New York State Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse

William Gorman, Ph.D., Commissioner, New York State Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse

Carolann Kane-Cavaiola, M.A., Assistant Commissioner, Division of Addiction Services, New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services

H. Westley Clark, M.D., J.D., M.P.H., CAS, FASAM, Director, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), SAMHSA, DHHS

Herbert D. Kleber, M.D., Professor of Psychiatry and Director of Division on Substance Abuse, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute

Clarita Herrera, M.D., President, New York County Medical Society

Lawrence Brown, Jr., M.D., M.P.H., FASAM, President, American Society of Addiction Medicine

Richard N. Rosenthal, M.D., President, American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry

CONTENTS

<u>P</u> :	age No
<u>Moderators</u>	
Opening Remarks Dr. H. Westley Clark	. 4
Introduction of Speakers Sheila Harmison	29
<u>Presentations</u>	
Dr. Martha Sullivan Assemblyman Jeffrey Dinowitz Dr. Sheila Harmison Dr. Steven S. Kipnis Dr. William Gorman Ms. Carolann Kane-Cavaiola Dr. H. Westley Clark Dr. Herbert D. Kleber Dr. Clarita Herrera Dr. Lawrence Brown, Jr. Dr. Richard N. Rosenthal	12 20 23 25 28 30 43 58 63
Forum Final Thoughts Dr. Lawrence Brown, Jr. Dr. H. Westley Clark Dr. Herbert D. Kleber Dr. Lawrence Brown, Jr. Dr. H. Westley Clark Dr. Herbert D. Kleber Dr. Herbert D. Kleber Dr. H. Westley Clark Dr. H. Westley Clark Dr. Herbert D. Kleber	78 78 79 79 79 80

PERFORMANCE REPORTING
Phone: 301.871.0010 Toll Free: 877.871.0010

PROCEEDINGS

Opening Remarks

Dr. H. Westley Clark

DR. CLARK: I want to thank you all for being here today. My name is Dr. H. Westley Clark. I am the director of Center for Substance Abuse Treatment within the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

Dr. Sheila Harmison and I will be moderating this August panel on buprenorphine. SAMHSA is the federal agency charged with improving the quality and availability of substance abuse disorder prevention and addiction treatment in mental services in the United States.

Among other things, SAMHSA supports the training of medical and substance abuse disorder professionals on a variety of pharmaco-therapy treatment issues, including the use of new medications. SAMHSA's vision is "A life in the community for everyone," and it reflects our interest in helping individuals in need by pursuing the well-defined mission of "building resilience and facilitating recovery."

On behalf of SAMHSA and CSAT, we will be hosting this community forum and moderating it, and we appreciate the host of the Department of Public Health, in the interest of the City of New York, and your participation.

So I want to thank those who helped put this event together and who helped generate this audience. It is my understanding that we had to turn away audience; people were being called and being asked not to appear. We regret that, but the fire marshals are very adamant about certain things, like not too many people in the room. Can we squeeze a few more? Nope, they weren't listening.

So I want to salute the New York City

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Deputy

Commissioner Sullivan for all your help. Amongst their

staff, I want to recognize Stacy Lamon, the City Director

for Addiction Services, Ivan Quervalu, Director of

Training and Staff Development, and Ms. Sandra Mullin,

Deputy Commissioner of Communications.

I want to thank Ryan Miday, the Legislative

Director to Assemblyman Dinowitz, the 81st District, New

York State Assembly, for his help and interest.

Now, Dr. Harmison will proceed with the beginning of this morning's activities.

DR. HARMISON: I would like to begin today by introducing our first speaker, someone whose offices provided such valuable assistance to SAMHSA and CSAT, it's hard to say enough, Dr. Martha Adams Sullivan. She is the deputy commissioner for Mental Hygiene Promotion and Chemical Dependency of New York City's Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, where she heads the Buprenorphine Task Force and is playing a major role in the initiative of buprenorphine treatment in New York.

I must say, before I have her come to the podium and speak to you, that she has her DSW just like I do, and it is wonderful to say hello to another fellow doctorate of social work.

Dr. Sullivan.

Remarks

Dr. Martha Sullivan

DR. SULLIVAN: I would like to welcome each and every one of you here this morning to our Forum: "New Paths to Recovery." We are very pleased to work with our

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

partners at the State of the Office of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Services, and of course with SAMHSA.

The Bureau of Alcohol and Substance Abuse

Services has as our mission to promote and protect public health through developing, contracting, monitoring, and evaluating alcohol and substance abuse services. We monitor over 100 programs, and these services are delivered by voluntary agencies, the public sector, community-based organizations, and certainly the Health and Hospitals Corporation.

As you all very well know, heroin and opiate addiction presents a major public health problem worldwide. In fact, there are approximately 9 million people in the world who are heroin users, and about 1 million of those are Americans. The economic burden to the U.S. has been estimated to be in the billions of dollars, and of course the social burden is really incalculable when we think of the devastation of families and communities as a result of heroin addiction.

New York City has the unfortunate distinction to be what could be called the heroin capital of the world. About one-fifth of the U.S. heroin users reside

in New York City. This results in, or is at least associated with, approximately 500 deaths annually in the city. And of course, we also know that about half of HIV transmission is related to intravenous drug use. In New York City, about 40 percent of heroin users also are what we call MICA patients. They have co-occurring disorders, mental illness.

With the FDA approval of buprenorphine in October, the Department has incorporated and made it a real priority: the successful introduction and use of this drug in New York City, because we see the potential to impact a number of public health concerns. We see buprenorphine, by the way, as an alternative, certainly not a medication or a treatment approach to supplant existing approaches which we know are certainly valuable and work well.

Because of the priority that the Department has faced upon seeing that this new treatment is available and used successfully, we developed an action plan. That action consists of three major components. One, is to see that buprenorphine treatment is initiated in private offices, in AIDS clinics, methadone clinics, the City

correctional system, to name a few.

We also want to develop systems to acquire data that will really inform us as to how well we are doing at building an infrastructure for this medication, and how well we are doing at getting those people who are not currently in treatment into treatment. That means we need to know succinctly how many new providers, new physicians are certified to be able to prescribe buprenorphine, and how many new patients are coming into the system receiving care who perhaps weren't before.

We may know that. In New York City, it is estimated that about 200,000 to 250,000 people use heroin, and yet our treatment system has actually about 38,000 people in treatment. Again, seeing buprenorphine as an alternative, we hope that it is one that will encourage some of those other people to enter treatment.

A third priority of the action plan is to see that there are reimbursement rates and regulations related to buprenorphine that will really support the use of the treatment and will not discourage or become a disincentive.

Some of the activities that we have engaged

upon to date have to do with, first, the development of a white paper commissioned by Drs. Rosenblum, Johnson, and Kleber. Dr. Kleber is on the dais, and I will take this moment, also, to thank Dr. Kleber for all of his support and advice and guidance of the Department from the inception.

The white paper, I believe, was available for you as you came in. It is considered a draft. We are looking for feedback and comments. Please send them to us. I think there are instructions there that you can do so, even by an email.

Mansion to discuss the white paper, and we are very pleased to pull together, I think, a rather stellar group of providers, policymakers, physicians, people involved in the regulatory and financing issues, to really discuss the contents of the white paper, which was also organized pretty much around the same topic areas as our action plan.

In May, we also developed a Fact Sheet, because getting the facts out in a simple, readable, understandable form to the public, we felt, was very

important. That Fact Sheet is available at the Department's website.

Many of you know that SAMHSA, in conjunction with the State, organized a training in June, which about 100 physicians attended. So that, the ranks of those who are certified to prescribe should be growing, and I understand that there is another training scheduled for November.

In closing, I just want to reiterate that our department is squarely behind the successful introduction of this new alternative. There hasn't been such a significant alternative treatment for heroin addiction in many years, but we want to see it done well, done correctly. We are open for as much feedback from all of you as possible. We certainly want to do this in a way that works for patients. Particularly, as I said before, we want to encourage all of those who have not so far availed themselves of the treatment that is available to do so.

So, thank you very much for coming this morning.

DR. HARMISON: Just as Dr. Sullivan is familiar

with the public health dimensions of the problem of opiate addiction, so too can our next speaker thoughtfully articulate its public policy implications. State Assemblyman Jeffrey Dinowitz has been in the news and on the front lines of what has become, and most assuredly must remain, a multi-level, comprehensive effort to fashion and sustain policies which help diminish the cost of addiction.

Elected to the New York State Assembly in 1994 to represent the 81st Assembly District, Mr. Dinowitz is chairman of the Assembly State Committee on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse, and serves on eight other committees.

Assemblyman Dinowitz.

Remarks

Assemblyman Jeffrey Dinowitz

ASSEMBLYMAN DINOWITZ: I looked at the list of your speakers, and I am one of the few people on the list who is not a doctor, unless you count juris doctor. I am going to talk from a slightly different perspective, and that is from policy and from a governmental and political perspective.

I am the chair of the New York State Assembly

Committee on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse, and that committee advocates on behalf of tens of thousands of New Yorkers who are in need of alcohol and drug abuse services treatment. The committee also participates in developing state budget initiatives and drug abuse programs, and oversees the New York State Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services, OASAS.

Through our interaction with OASAS, as well as advocates and professionals within the substance abuse field, the committee plays an integral role in identifying service needs to ensure the quality of treatment programs throughout the State of New York.

Now, 2003 is my first year as chair of this committee, and during that relatively brief time as chair, I have been through a crash course in many issues surrounding both the nightmare of addiction, as well as the hopes of recovery through many treatment strategies.

Since becoming chair, I have been involved with a wide range of issues, from working to curtail under-age drinking, to establishing parity of reimbursement rates between hospital and non-hospital methadone clinics, to working to increase the beer tax in New York.

By the way, we did submit legislation to do
that this year, and we got a lot of cosponsors in the
Assembly for that legislation. It wasn't successful, but
I am going to keep plugging away on that. The revenue
for that would be dedicated to treatment and prevention
programs. Also, focusing on the fact that a high
percentage of addicts have co-occurring disorders.

But among the most important topics that advocates for addiction treatment have brought to me time and time again relate to heroin addiction, treatment alternatives such as methadone, and the role New York should play in addressing addiction in fostering the best possible treatment options.

As I met with many experts over the past six months, what was immediately clear to me was how complex these issues are and the great differences of opinion on a whole wide range of subjects within the addiction treatment community. But through venues such as this, which bring experts together, as well as policymakers who share the goal of obtaining care for addicts, I am certain we can work to find sensible solutions to help those in need.

Now, early in my tenure as committee chair, it became clear to me that among the illegal drugs being used by New Yorkers, heroin poses, perhaps, the most challenging set of prevention and treatment issues.

While it has long been a problem, heroin use is now on the rise in New York and throughout the country.

Evident from testimony at an assembly here which I chaired in May, addiction treatment programs are encountering a new generation of opiate addicts, often young, middle class New Yorkers who have discovered a more potent, inexpensive, and easy to acquire heroin which is available in communities throughout the state.

In the 1970s, New York attempted to stem the rising tide of heroin addiction by enacting the Rockefeller Drug Laws, and of course, that has been front and center in the New York state legislature this year; the Rockefeller Drug Laws, of course, being criminal sanctions that impose very severe prison sentences in an attempt to put a halt to illicit drug use.

In retrospect, there is bipartisan agreement now that these laws are ineffective in stemming the use of illegal drugs while causing a dramatic increase in the

state prison population. This consensus is based on the belief that the state cannot solve the problem of drug addiction simply by locking up addicts.

There is not, however, a bipartisan agreement on how to change the Rockefeller Drug Laws. The New York state assembly and the governor have each proposed alternative strategies to deal with illicit drug use and to reform these laws.

I think more than ever that addiction treatment and prevention are gaining support as the appropriate strategy for reducing the use of addictive drugs.

Research on pharmaceutical treatment of addiction has been particularly well publicized recently.

I should say that I believe that we must radically alter the Rockefeller Drug Laws to focus on treatment of addiction programs as well as giving judges discretion in how to deal with individuals that come before them.

Now, recently in fact, when I first learned that the FDA and the New York State Department of Health have issued regulations that allow buprenorphine to be used for office-based opiate addiction treatment, one

thing that struck me immediately was that, for the most part, the approval of buprenorphine generated enthusiasm and excitement. From medical journals to the pages of "USA Today," buprenorphine was trumpeted as a cutting edge medication that would forever alter the landscape of addiction and recovery.

In light of all that excitement, I couldn't help but wonder, does buprenorphine actually have the potential to revolutionize opiate addiction treatment?

Will it or other drugs currently being studied eventually supplant established opiate addiction treatment modalities such as methadone maintenance? Further, I was concerned about whether New York State was prepared to take an active role in making alternatives to methadone available to providers.

From my perspective as a state lawmaker, several key facets of this discussion stand out in my mind. For example, one aspect of the buprenorphine issue that troubles me greatly is that, with both the state and federal government budgets in crisis, I believe it is absolutely essential that we know how much treatment will cost and who will pay for it and make sure that we can

pay for it.

In New York and across the country there was growing pressure to reduce Medicaid expenditures, therefore giving the states dire financial straits. Is it likely that the Medicaid system will be expanded to cover new pharmaceutical treatments for addicts, be it buprenorphine or whatever else in the future? We must make funding available to do that which has to be done.

Of course, this is especially troublesome if we agree that there is currently a population of addicts unable for whatever reason to access treatment because, after all, isn't it likely that many addicts will not have private insurance and will need Medicaid to cover the cost of their treatment? If Medicaid cannot be adequately utilized, will there actually be any chance of closing the treatment gap?

Another aspect of the buprenorphine issue which

I think is of critical importance in answering policy,

procedural, and professional questions is similar in

nature to that of operating methadone clinics. For

instance, since doctors and pharmacies now have the

authority to prescribe and dispense buprenorphine, what

specialized training will they need to manage addicted patients effectively?

Also, there are currently systems in place to identify and check methadone patients intended to prevent abuse or diversion of medication. Will similar systems be created to prevent the abuse of buprenorphine?

Finally, methadone clinics are currently supposed to provide at least some therapy beyond just distributing the drug. Will doctors who treat addicts with buprenorphine be providing other supports for addicts beyond just writing a prescription for buprenorphine and then sending them on their way?

These and other questions and concerns were put to a panel recently of respected experts on opiate addiction at a recent roundtable sponsored by my committee. The broadly varying answers showed that there is great enthusiasm for buprenorphine but there was also a testament to the distance we must travel before we actually reach a point where there was agreement on how opiate addiction can be effectively treated.

I am hopeful that the approval of buprenorphine is a very important step on that journey and that this

conference will move us even further ahead. I should say that I think that we have the potential to really have a tremendous if not revolutionary effect in New York and around the country in fact in treating drug addiction.

That is why I think so many people have greeted this with such enthusiasm.

In closing, I should say that I am very pleased to have been invited here because I think the exchange of ideas and information is very important. I hope to work with many of you in the immediate future as we continue to look at buprenorphine as an answer to the problems that face thousands and thousands of New Yorkers.

Thank you very much.

Remarks

Dr. Sheila Harmison

DR. HARMISON: Thank you, Mr. Dinowitz, for your enlightening remarks.

I want to note by way of background that at least two decades of substance use disorder treatment have made one thing clear and that is that treatment works. However, those of us in the addiction field have seldom focused on the role of primary care physicians in

helping to find the answer.

We all know that substance use disorders are often chronic conditions that progress slowly over time. Primary care clinicians through their regular long-term contact with patients over time are in an ideal position to screen for alcohol and drug problems and to monitor each individual's status. Historically this potential has largely been untapped.

Fortunately, as you will hear today, there is a new option for practitioners and their patients. Just as Mr. Dinowitz and countless others have endeavored to address the problem of drug addiction at the state legislative level, so too have federally elected officials made this day possible. Thanks to a great deal of help from the U.S. Congress, doctors are now allowed to prescribe and dispense a new anti-addiction medication in the privacy of their offices.

Senators Carl Levin, Orrin Hatch, and Joseph Biden have sponsored the Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000, DATA, which, for the first time in nearly 100 years, allows doctors to prescribe and dispense certain narcotics for the treatment of opiate addiction. DATA

has made office-based opioid treatment a reality.

Buprenorphine holds out the promise of creating an alternative delivery model that can be used in mainstream medicine. It can help people addicted to opiates stabilize their lives and get started on the path to recovery. By being partners in this new delivery system, primary care physicians and addiction specialists can together identify and treat those in need.

The advent of office-based opioid treatment and the availability of this new medication are important developments as we seek to address the public health imperative to reduce opioid dependency.

Consider for a moment that HIV/AIDS and hepatitis C have reached epidemic proportions among injectable drug users. Yes, seeing injectable drug users and individuals who misuse prescription drugs is a greater part of a primary care practice than one might initially realize.

The twin epidemics of infectious diseases and addiction to substances underscores the critical need that primary care doctors collaborate with the public health and addiction treatment centers. They illustrate

the degree to which treatment providers and professionals across the medical disciplines must be prepared to encounter substance use and co-occurring disorders.

It is towards these ends that we have convened this community forum today. The individual and collective professional experiences of our next three speakers ensure that they are most familiar with 1) the recent history of substance use disorder treatment; 2) the importance of broadening access to that treatment; and 3) how medication-assisted treatment options like buprenorphine can and will expand that access.

Our next speaker is, I'm sure, familiar to many of you. Dr. Steven Kipnis is medical director of the New York Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Service.

Dr. Kipnis.

Remarks

Dr. Steven S. Kipnis

DR. KIPNIS: It is really my pleasure to be here for this forum, "New Paths to Recovery," and really to start something that the federal government started many years ago and also that New York State OASAS started working on back in April of 2001, and that was our new

treatment option, buprenorphine.

One of the problems with not going first is everyone has a little bit different numbers, so my numbers say that in the United States there are upwards of 800,000 opiate-addicted patients, anywhere from 800,000 to a million. Only 20 percent are receiving agonist therapy at this time.

The reason for that is really varied. One is stigma, the stigma of being an, quote, "addict" in general and certainly an opiate addict. There is community opposition to having a treatment center "in my backyard." Certainly, regulatory issues. Heroin is increasingly more pure and more available. Younger people are starting to use heroin. Also, very important to New York State is there is a paucity of methadone programs in the rural areas.

The Addiction Medicine Unit of OASAS has worked closely with New York State Department of Health and Controlled Substance Bureau, New York City, and CSAT, probably a little too close with CSAT as far as some of our contacts can tell you.

We have made buprenorphine available to treat

opiate-dependent patients in New York State and hope that it will continue to be a successful mode of therapy for these patients.

My one great pleasure besides being here speaking to you about buprenorphine is also to introduce to you our new commissioner of OASAS, Dr. William Gorman. Dr. Gorman comes to OASAS from a very impressive background in substance abuse, treating mental health patients, and also HIV/AIDS patients. He is a veteran of the United States Army. He has a Ph.D. in pastoral psychology, a doctor of ministry, masters of theology, masters of educational psychology. What is really neat for me is that he is an R.N., so someone in my agency that I can actually commiserate with.

Anyway, I would like to introduce our new commissioner, Dr. William Gorman.

Remarks

Dr. William Gorman

DR. GORMAN: State Assemblyman Dinowitz, Dr. Clark, esteemed physicians, fellow members of the panel, respected guests and participants. I am truly honored this morning to represent the New York State Office of

Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Service at CSAT's "New Paths to Recovery" forum on buprenorphine.

OASAS is committed to the use of addiction medication in our licensed addiction treatment system.

It is one of the few states in the nation that has an addiction medicine unit. The New York State system and private practitioners have had limited exposure to the effective use of medications in treatment of addictions, except in withdrawal settings and our extensive methadone clinic system, which continues to carry out significant and effective treatment but is limited by a lack of service availability in all areas of New York State.

Buprenorphine will, hopefully, allow these patients that for logistical reasons cannot attend or are clinically not suited for a methadone program to obtain opiate-dependence treatment.

It is the responsibility of OASAS to work in collaboration with other state agencies, professional organizations, local governments, and medical practitioners to help establish clinical protocols, policies, training programs, and reimbursement mechanisms which will support the use of any addiction medicine

which will produce a positive outcome for the patients that we serve.

OASAS has started that process. We have cosponsored two training sessions with CSAT, ASAM, IPPA, New York City, and upstate New York at Rochester. We have worked and continue to do so with the state Department of Health with the Medicaid Unit and with their Controlled Substance Unit. We have also worked with the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and will continue to do that to build bridges with these different agencies.

We believe that successful use of buprenorphine would be of great benefit for our state and this treatment will be a judicious use of all of our facilities to help our patients that we serve. It will be a benefit, we believe, to our state, to the treatment providers, and most importantly, for those we serve, our patients.

Thank you.

DR. HARMISON: Our next speaker will provide perspective from next door, so to speak. Carolann Kane-Cavaiola is the assistant commissioner for the Division

of Addiction Services at the New Jersey Department of
Health. She has served on the Governors' Advisory
Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse under three different
administrations.

Ms. Kane-Cavaiola.

Remarks

Ms. Carolann Kane-Cavaiola

MS. KANE-CAVAIOLA: The Division of Addiction Services, as a single state agency, takes very seriously our responsibility, our responsibility to our mission to decrease abuse and dependence on alcohol and other drugs and certainly in this whole area of new treatments for heroin addicts.

It is very sad, but New Jersey continues to show the highest rate in the number of mentions of heroin admissions. Our programs, even before we are able to collect the data, are talking to those in my office and to me about the growth in our suburbs south and west of our cities. I think it may be easy for you to understand that we have a very different system of care in that most of our towns are characterized by strip malls as opposed to community centers, that our programs serve large areas

even though we have such a concentration of addiction.

We are going to begin in short order to have many conversations with our partners in New Jersey about incorporating this new technology into what we do. There is a treatment gap; we understand that. We have to move slowly and deliberately into this particular new opportunity.

We are looking at our current treatment settings but we are also needing to consider other recovery-sustaining activities so that once we are able to treat patients in an office-based approach that we have the opportunities for them to continue. It is a lifetime diligence to recovery.

I want to thank you for having New Jersey present here today. I hope that we can continue having these conversations. You are invited to our state at any point in time, but I am absolutely committed to this initiative.

Thank you.

DR. HARMISON: Thank you, Ms. Kane-Cavaiola. It is great to have you here.

Next, I will have Dr. Clark briefly describe

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

for you buprenorphine as a medication that has profound implications for primary care physicians, behavioral medicine specialists, consumers of opioids, their families and loved ones.

Dr. Clark.

Remarks

Dr. H. Westley Clark

DR. CLARK: What I am going to talk about is, it is important, as Mr. Dinowitz pointed out, to have people recognize that buprenorphine together with behavioral counseling can potentially help thousands of individuals using opioids to reclaim their lives and their health.

Addiction treatment using opioid therapy has been demonstrated to be effective; Dr. Sullivan pointed that out. Less than 20 percent of individuals who become addicted to opioids receive treatment.

One of the things I want to stress is that we are not just talking about health -- New York, obviously, has the leading problem with the issue of health -- we are also talking about prescription opioid. That gets lost, especially on primary care practitioners, because

they get to choose, well, we don't really treat heroin addicts. At the same time, they are writing prescriptions for hydrocodone, morphine, and oxycodone and that sort of thing as if the problem belongs to the public health department or some other department or the other. It is a key issue that we are noticing and you have noticed in Washington, this upswing in prescription opioid abuse and dependence.

So, buprenorphine was promised to the primary care practitioner not just for the detoxification and/or maintenance for heroin but also as a mechanism by which we can address the problems of those who are misusing prescription opioids.

Dr. Crookston in Utah, when we did a community forum there, stressed his use of buprenorphine as the medication that he can use to transition older Americans who are dependent on prescription opiates. It is a key construct in this message.

So we need to get the message out, because I don't think the primary care community recognizes that they themselves have an issue. And it's not just, again, imported drugs like heroin; it is domestically dispensed

drugs like morphine, codeine, hydrocodone, oxycodone. It is a key construct that we need to keep in mind.

U.S. data from hospital emergency departments indicates admissions for narcotic analgesics or prescription drugs have increased steadily through the mid '90s to 2001 to the extent that in 2001 ER visits involving legal prescription drug medications for the first time exceed those for heroin.

Now, the data here for New York City are not like that, but the fact is, New York City has a problem with prescription opioid abuse. Heroin is the dominant theme here. In other places, like in Albany, or if you look at western New York, prescription opioids actually exceed heroin. So, again, it is a thing to keep in mind.

Our data reports 52,000 drug-related emergency department admissions in New York City in 2001. The rate in New York City for heroin, of course, is higher than the population rate nationwide. There were 924 drug-related deaths in the New York metropolitan area in 2000. Of these, 713 of the deaths were overdoses.

The total number of primary heroin admissions to state-funded and non-funded treatment programs in New

York City increased from 20,879 in 1999 to 21,616 in 2000, according to OASAS data. There were more admissions for heroin abuse than for any other drug during this period. There were 10,988 heroin admissions in the first half of 2001 alone, indicating an ongoing increase in the heroin admissions.

Data indicate the number of heroin-related emergency room visits in New York City fluctuated, but increased overall from 9,481 in 1997 to 10,644 in 2001.

The rate for 100,000 population in New York City was dramatically higher than the rate nationwide. Mortality data indicate there were 194 heroin-related deaths in the metropolitan New York area in 2000.

In the New Jersey area, Newark area, there were 304 drug-related deaths in Newark, New Jersey, and surrounding Essex and Morris Counties in 2001. There were 190 mentions of narcotic pain medications associated with these deaths, and 177 mentions of heroin and morphine. The 304 deaths involving drugs in 2001 reflected an increase from the 250 recorded the year before, continuing upward the trend for total drug-related deaths. Again, not just heroin, the key

construct. We have to keep this in mind.

So when you relate to private practitioners, you remind them they may be part of the problem and buprenorphine offers a solution that allows us to deal with everyone's concerns. The non-mortality data of death, drug-induced, one or more of the drugs directly caused the death, drug-related and drug abuse was a contributing factor.

Now, obviously, we are limited by the data that we have, but the data continue to show that we need to work with New Jersey, we need to work with New York State, as well as the various cities within each jurisdiction to build the capacity and to implement the most effective treatment services available.

Buprenorphine is not a revolutionary drug, so I want to differ a little with Mr. Dinowitz. It is, as was pointed out, a known agent. It allows us to bring primary care into the delivery system. It allows early intervention. We don't have to wait until someone has mugged me or you, or somebody else, before we address the problem.

I am getting up there in age, as Joe Nathan can

tell you. He was a fellow of mine way back when. I would prefer early intervention to waiting until someone has committed a crime and hurt somebody else before we say, gee, we need to help them.

So what buprenorphine offers is the prospect of early intervention. As we destignatize drug treatment, then what we can do is to encourage primary care to screen at the office for intervention.

We are working at SAMHSA with multiple communities, physicians, addiction counseling professionals, behavioral scientists, pharmacists, and others to acquaint them with buprenorphine and to ensure that they become and remain valuable partners in treating opioid addiction. It is critical that we have these relationships.

It is wonderful that we have Mr. Dinowitz here.

I think New York State should be commended for having a
political person who is interested in substance abuse
treatment at this level.

We have at the federal level similar kinds of ventures. We have President Bush, who has articulated the importance of recovery. We have Secretary Tommy

Thompson, who has recognized the importance of prevention and treatment. Of course, my boss, Mr. Charles Curie, who has supported this effort, this new path to recovery approach. Indeed, his focus is on recovery.

As a result of buprenorphine's availability, we now can involve qualified primary care practitioners and back them up with addiction medicine specialists like Dr. Kleber over there. We have Dr. Lou Baxter from the State of New Jersey, who is on our National Advisory Council.

So we have a process where, with existing state license and DEA registration, we can train primary care docs because one of the things primary care doctors complain about is they don't have the skills and the knowledge to do the screening. We have a process in place.

New York has some unique rules that it applies to the use of buprenorphine, but we believe that the most important thing is that we have the primary care delivery system involved in addiction treatment so that we can have early detection, early intervention, and an alternative achievement strategy that can occur in the confines of the doctor's office so that by the time a

person discovers that they have a problem not so much time has exhausted.

We are working with the American Society of
Addiction Medicine, the American Academy of Osteopathic
Addiction Medicine, the American Psychiatric Association,
and the American Association of Addiction Psychiatry. We
are also outreaching to the American Medical Association
and to the National Association of Addiction
Professionals because we recognize the importance of
counselors being involved in this effort, nurses and
pharmacists.

I don't want to underemphasize the importance of pharmacists because the pharmacists will play a critical role in this effort. We are outreaching to the organized pharmacists so that we can address their concerns and make sure that they have the necessary information.

This is a radical new role. Not since USB1 has the whole health care delivery system been poised to address addiction rather than just clustering it off. We have primary care docs who treat hypertension and heart disease and who may feel that they have exceeded their

skills and abilities and refer them to specialists.

Hence, we should have a delivery system that is graded by the degree and severity of the problems that a person presents to that delivery system so that we don't have to have a terribly costly system but we can have access and we can have quality.

In your packets there is reference to our website, <www.buprenorphine.samhsa.gov>. I encourage you to use that website for information about buprenorphine, about training opportunities. I urge you to call our 1-800 number, 1-866-BUP-CSAT, with training and ways that practitioners can begin this process. In New York we have to register with OASAS.

The most important thing is that we get primary care involved and that we get other addiction docs. To date, CSAT has received data waiver notifications from only 256 New York-based physicians. Of that number, 218 waivers have been approved. So basically, New York State has very few compared to the number of physicians in the state waivers. Some 163 of these physicians could be identified from our SAMHSA buprenorphine physician locator, which is also available on the website.

More than 80 of the physicians with waivers practice here in the greater New York area. Again, there are only 80 physicians in the greater New York area that have waivers. So you have to ask, well, how many physicians in the greater New York area are writing prescriptions for fentanyl and morphine and oxycodone and hydrocodone; is it only 80? Because, if it is only 80, then you have a satisfactory number of docs. But I think not. Then again, I may be wrong. I am interloper; what do I know? I am just telling you about the numbers.

Seventy-six New Jersey physicians have applied for waivers; 62 applications have been approved.

Information on the 62 New Jersey physicians can be accessed on the Physician Locator.

A key issue is that there is this reluctance to recognize the relationship between prescription drug abuse and the complications of it. The law clearly says, once I believe my patient is an addict, then I can no longer treat that person with a scheduled drug except for buprenorphine or send them to a methadone program. In fact, across the country methadone programs are now seeing more people with prescription drug problems and

narcotic problems than in the past. In fact, some programs state that their new admissions are predominantly oxycodone and hydrocodone rather than heroin.

So, the issue for the City of New York and the State of New York and the State of New Jersey is, is prescription drug abuse a problem, as well as, is heroin a problem? If so, then primary care needs to be involved in the solution.

That is one of the reasons that we at SAMHSA are taking the lead in educating physicians and the public about buprenorphine. That is one of the reasons we have launched our "New Paths to Recovery." We need alternative strategies to deal with this issue.

We have been conducting these community forums across the country. We plan to continue working with New York and New Jersey. We have been in telephonic contact as well as face-to-face contact with New York and New Jersey. We want people to access our website.

We don't claim to think of our strategies as the panacea. It is not. Health care is never a panacea. It is a complex issue with behavioral components. You

tell people not to eat so much, you tell people to watch their blood pressure, you tell people a lot of things, and then we deal with it. As a psychiatrist, I recognize that we don't always do what we are supposed to do as human beings, but we deal with it.

So we have been working closely with the National Institute of Drug Abuse and the Food and Drug Administration and the Drug Enforcement Administration to make sure that we can capitalize off the opportunities that buprenorphine offers.

Scientific research supported by NIDA and the Department of Veterans Affairs has demonstrated the efficacy of using buprenorphine. We have Dr. Fiellin here in the audience who has done a lot of work and a lot of training and has published a number of papers. We all recognize that what goes on in the laboratory and what goes on in the streets are often different, so we have a vested interest in making sure that we don't create a problem in our effort to create a solution.

So we want to make sure that Mr. Dinowitz and Dr. Sullivan are aware that we treat very seriously the introduction of a new strategy. Everything has a down

side, but our hope is that the up side exceeds the down side. If we have a community that is working together and that is careful, we can mitigate the down side of everything.

I am fond of saying, if it can be abused, it will be abused. That is the case. When I found that haldol was being abused, I figured, gee, if somebody is willing to abuse haldol, they are willing to abuse anything. So I use the fact that it can be used as a reason not to do it. I used to shake my head when Ted would come in complaining about people abusing haldol.

In any event, it is important for us to have some basic pharmacological information. So I would like to introduce Herb Kleber. He is a professor of psychiatry and the director of the Division on Substance Abuse at the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute.

He is a pioneer for research and treatment of narcotic and cocaine abuse for more than 35 years. He is the co-editor of the American Psychiatric Association textbook on substance abuse treatment. He is on the

editorial board of scientific journals. He is previously the executive vice president and medical director of the National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse. He used to be a deputy director of Demand Reduction for the Office of National Drug Control Policy.

He is a man of great experience, and I am pleased to introduce Dr. Kleber.

Remarks

Dr. Herbert D. Kleber

DR. KLEBER: Thank you for those kind words, Westley, and more importantly, thank you for your energetic efforts to try and get physicians trained in buprenorphine and to get it out there in the country.

I have been asked to present a brief overview of buprenorphine, although I am sure there are a number of you in the audience who may be even more familiar with it. In fact, there was an NPR program about buprenorphine this morning around 7:45 a.m., and one of the people in the audience, Dr. Paul Cassadonte was interviewed, along with Dr. Ed Salsitz and Terry Horton.

Of course, as Dr. Clark pointed out, we have David Fiellin here from Yale who has been extraordinarily

active in trying to train new physicians in buprenorphine as well as running a very good program in New Haven.

I should like to point out that it has hardly been a rush to market.

[Laughter.]

DR. KLEBER: I wrote my first buprenorphine paper in 1988. My colleague at Johns Hopkins, Don Jaczynski, had written his first buprenorphine paper in 1978. So the wheels of justice grind slowly, but sometimes things end up right in the long run.

I am delighted it is out here. One day we can tell you about all the struggle it took to get it here in terms of getting the bill through Congress. They attached it first to the Bankruptcy Bill, and then, when it looked like the Bankruptcy Bill wasn't going anywhere, it ended up being attached to the Children's Health Bill. So that is how buprenorphine got approved back in 2000.

So it has been a convoluted trip to get here, but we are very hopeful that once it is here it can markedly expand the options available because, as Dr. Clark pointed out and as other speakers did, it is estimated there are somewhere between 800,000 and a

million heroin addicts nationally. Some estimates are that the number of prescription analgesic addicts is twice the number of heroin addicts. So there is no shortage of people out there who need this treatment.

I also want to express my gratitude especially to Drs. Schedler and Sullivan from the Department of Health in the city who, again, have been very energetic about trying to get buprenorphine out there. Dr. Schedler did say at that conference -- I don't know if he wants to be remembered for that statement -- that there are 40,000 opiate addicts in treatment in New York City now, and by the year 2010 he wants 100,000 in treatment. So I just want to get that on the record.

[Laughter.]

DR. KLEBER: He is trying and Dr. Sullivan is trying very hard.

Finally, I want to point out that, for those physicians who may be reluctant to begin people on buprenorphine until they get more experience, we plan at Columbia to open up an induction center in mid September where we will start people on buprenorphine and evaluate them. Then, once they are stabilized and we know what

they need in terms of psychosocial support, we will then transfer them to various physicians in the city who have the appropriate authority to prescribe buprenorphine. We hope that will increase the number of physicians willing to use buprenorphine and the number of patients in treatment.

The two physicians who are going to head that, Drs. McDowell and Gunderson, are in the audience here.

The brochure is out on the table which describes that clinic.

We also hope, if the funding comes through, to start sometime in the fall monthly training for physicians in New York to train them in buprenorphine so that they can get certified and, for those who are already certified, to sort of have an up-to-date thing dealing with some of the clinical problems they may run into.

So we think that this is not a panacea. This is probably not revolutionary; it is certainly evolutionary.

How does buprenorphine work? It has a very high affinity for the new opioid receptor, which is also

where heroin and morphine act. It competes with other opioids at that receptor, and because of its very high affinity, if they are already there -- so, if the person is already addicted to heroin and you give them buprenorphine -- it can precipitate withdrawal, so that when you begin people on buprenorphine it is a good idea to have them in mild withdrawal before you give them the first dose.

So, when I start someone who is addicted to a short-acting opioid, such as heroin, oxycodone, vicodin, et cetera, I want them off opiates for at least 12 hours, which will put them in mild withdrawal. Eighteen hours would be even better, but that is a lot to ask for an addict. If you want to get them to the office eighteen hours off, you may have a long wait.

For people who have been maintained on methadone, I like them to have had their last dose of methadone at least 36 hours ago because of the longacting nature of it.

So you want people in mild withdrawal. You give them the buprenorphine at that point. It relieves that mild withdrawal and begins to occupy the receptor

and satisfy its needs so that it treats that mild withdrawal patient. They feel better. You gradually increase the dose, and within two or three days you should have the patient stabilized.

It is long-acting. One of the advantages of buprenorphine over methadone is methadone has to be taken daily. Buprenorphine, because of its slow dissociation from the receptor, has a prolonged therapeutic effect.

You can dose every 48 hours instead of every 24 hours.

You can dose with a higher dose every 72 hours, but I don't suggest that because the data indicate that as you approach that 72 hours people go into withdrawal. So I think that 48 hours is probably about as far as I intend to dose.

It has a ceiling effect on the opiate effects.

Because it is only a partial agonist, it doesn't give

you the full opiate effect, which makes it not as good a

drug to get high on and safer in an overdose. If you

take too much of heroin, of methadone, of any other full

agonist, you will die of respiratory depression.

The advantage of buprenorphine is it is a partial agonist. As you increase the dose, you get a

ceiling effect on that respiratory depression, making it much harder to kill yourself. Since the French introduced buprenorphine for prescribing by general physicians around 1996, they have cut the annual heroin overdose death rate by about 75 percent. So it is much safer.

It is not impossible to kill yourself. If you work at it, you can. Most of the deaths that have occurred in France have been from a combination of buprenorphine and the benzodiazepines, drugs like Xanax, Valium, because the benzos depress respiration by a different mechanism. So you have a ceiling effect on how much you can depress with buprenorphine and then you take a drug that depresses it by a different mechanism and, lo and behold, you are able to kill yourself.

The forms that buprenorphine will be available in the United States are two. One is the Subutex, which is just buprenorphine. The other is Suboxone, which is a combination of buprenorphine with the narcotic antagonist Naloxone. Naloxone is poorly absorbed orally so that -- if we could raise that a little bit so you can get the bottom of the slide? You can't? Okay.

What it says at the bottom is, Naloxone is poorly absorbed if taken orally. It blocks the opiate effects if injected. So that, if you take the buprenorphine, Suboxone as prescribed, you have very, very little Naloxone on board. If, however, you inject it, you have roughly 100 times more Naloxone. So this provides a safety effect in terms of helping to make it harder to divert the drug and more difficult to get high from it.

The fuller agonists, like heroin, morphine, codeine, methadone, have moderate binding to new receptors. They are short-acting and they produce a powerful opiate high. That is, the short-acting ones like heroin produce a powerful opiate high. Long-acting ones like methadone, especially taken orally, do not produce as good an opiate high.

Bupe has strong binding to the receptor and long-acting but relatively weak opiate effect. Now, the practical aspect of that is that you cannot transfer individuals from methadone to buprenorphine at all levels of methadone. In general, buprenorphine seems to be at best efficacious compared to about 60 milligrams of

methadone.

In terms of transferring people to buprenorphine, in general they should not be on more than 30 to 40 milligrams of methadone. So if you have a patient who is on 150 of methadone and wants to be transferred to buprenorphine, they have to gradually lower the dose of methadone until they get down to a range that the buprenorphine will cover.

We are trying to figure out if there are other ways around that, and we have a number of projects going at Columbia and projects going at Yale, too, to try and figure out are there safe and effective ways of transferring people from methadone to buprenorphine at higher doses of methadone. Right now they have to be at low doses.

It is important to emphasize what other speakers have said: buprenorphine is not going to be a replacement for methadone. We have probably 25 to 30 antidepressants. We have a tricyclic antidepressant, we have the SSLIs that increase the amount of available serotonin, we have those that increase low adrenaline, et cetera. If one antidepressant doesn't work, you don't

say, okay, we can't treat this man's depression. You say, well, if this one doesn't work, maybe we should try

We have heard it said that methadone is a very important part of a comprehensive rehab program, which unfortunately a lot of the programs are not. We are worried about that with buprenorphine. If you have a program that provides appropriate psychosocial rehab in addition to the medication, you have decreases in the illicit opiate use. It normalizes the immune and the endocrine system, it decreases criminal activities, and it increases pro-social activities.

What about Naltrexone? Naltrexone, as we say, is the ideal drug, only for the most part addicts aren't interested in using it. It is long-acting. It is a pill; it can last up to 72 hours. We probably have about 180,000 or so people on methadone in the United States, and I think there are probably somewhere between 5000 and 10,000 people at best on naltrexone.

It can produce some mild GI effects early on, which are probably residual withdrawal. The patients have to be clean before they start. They have to be off

all opiates, depending on whether it was heroin or methadone, for at least a week to almost two weeks, and a lot of patients can't make that.

So it is hard to get on it, it may have some mild dysphoria, and most importantly, it doesn't give you any of the opiate effects. The advantage of buprenorphine is it does give you some opiate-like effects. So the hope is patients will be more interested in taking it.

So, naltrexone right now has been primarily used in patients who have a lot to lose. For them, it has been quite effective. Doctors, nurses, lawyers who -- I shouldn't say doctors; we know doctors don't abuse drugs. Only lawyers.

[Laughter.]

DR. KLEBER: Lawyers who face sanctioning by the bar association if they don't stop their opiate use.

In addition, there have been some interesting studies in the criminal justice system where people on probation were randomly assigned to naltrexone or to extra counseling, and in six months the naltrexone group had twice as many people still in treatment as opposed to

being returned to prison.

This gives you some idea of what we mean by the potency of these different drugs. As you see, a full agonist such as methadone fully occupies that receptor and activates it whereas buprenorphine has only about half of the activation of the receptor as compared to methadone and naltrexone does not activate the receptor at all. So that line down there at the bottom which is naltrexone is flat.

How good is it for the treatment of addiction?

Well, it can be used for withdrawal. It is my favorite agent right now for withdrawing addicts. It is much easier than methadone or any other method. It has a relatively benign withdrawal pattern itself, so it makes it much easier to withdraw patients. In fact, in our induction center first we were only going to do induction; now we are thinking we will also do detox for those people who want detox even though in general detox is not very effective. My colleague from UCLA, Walter Ling, has said heroin detox is good for many things but getting off heroin is not one of them.

[Laughter.]

DR. KLEBER: So, the relapse rate from detox is relatively high, but we figure if we detox these people they will be back for maintenance when they find they are unable to stay clean. A lot of people simply feel, hey, if I could only get clean, that is all I need to do and I will be able to stay clean. When they find out differently, they will be back, wanting to be maintained on the buprenorphine.

It diminishes cravings. It doesn't produce a high; well, that is not totally true. If you inject it, it does produce a high. It blocks heroin or it reduces the effect and improves treatment retention. If you compare it to trials versus methadone, basically the bottom line of all of these three studies is that buprenorphine is better than low-dose methadone. That is, methadone at 20 or 30 milligrams. Buprenorphine has higher retention rates and less positive opiate yearns. It is about as good, maybe not quite as good, as 50 to 60 milligrams of methadone.

These doses of bupe, eight milligrams, that was when they gave the liquid sublingual. The tablets are not quite as potent in terms of absorption as the liquid,

so I think the standard dose pretty much daily is going to be about 16 milligrams, and 16 milligrams of bupe will be about equal to 60 milligrams of methadone as far as holding capacity and negative yearnings.

This compares the various drugs as far as positive yearns or negative yearns. What you see is LAAM, which is a long-acting form of methadone which lasts about three days, has the highest rate of negative yearns. Next would be buprenorphine, and then a high dose of methadone is about the same, and low dose of methadone is much lower.

In terms of retention, what you see is that buprenorphine was about the same as high-dose methadone as far as retention and about the same as high-dose methadone in terms of 12 or more consecutive drug-free yearns. LAAM was the best, but LAAM is not very available anymore. It was shown to produce certain cardiac arrhythmias. As a result, the EU has pretty much banned it in Europe and the FDA has said it can only be used as a second-line treatment. So more and more programs are discontinuing LAAM, which is unfortunate because I think it is a good maintenance drug.

In terms of blocking hydromorphone, you see again that the high doses of bupe, 16 milligrams or 32, blocks the high from hydromorphone. At the low doses of bupe, you don't see that blocking effect.

So, in summary, to wrap it up in the next 30 seconds, it is a partial agonist. It is about as effective as methadone or LAAM, depending on the dose of methadone. Lower level of physical dependency; it is easier to withdraw from. Lower risk of respiratory, therefore lower risk of overdose deaths. It can be abused, especially if injected, but the addition of naloxone should decrease the diversion to the streets.

The experiences in Europe and in Australia suggest that it can be very effective in maintenance therapy. Non-withdrawal decrease of opioid use, greater safety, lower diversion potential.

Thank you.

DR. CLARK: Our first president is Dr. Clarita
Herrera. She is the president of the New York County
Medical Society. She is an internist in private practice
and is a clinical instructor in primary care at New York
Medical College at Valhalla, the past president of

American Medical Limits Association. She served two
terms as president of the AMWA chapter of New York City.
She has served on the governing council for the
International Medical Guidance Section of the American
Medical Association.

Dr. Herrera.

Remarks

Dr. Clarita Herrera

DR. HERRERA: Thank you for inviting me this morning to participate in this extremely useful and exciting program.

The New York County Medical Society is a local district branch of the AMA. We are in Manhattan in one of the five burroughs that do have a medical society. We have currently 3500 physicians who are from different specialties and subspecialties. Again, as a medical society, we only have one continuing vision, and that is toward improving and maintaining the health of the general public.

How do we do this? We do this by making sure that our physicians are well trained in areas that do have an impact on the outcome of patient care. So I am

very pleased that I am here today representing my society on this particular endeavor.

As a physician who has been in private practice for 20 years in Manhattan, I would like to share with you some of my own personal experiences in treating women and men who are substance use abusers. Prior to opening my private practice in Manhattan, I was one, I think, of the first medical directors on drug dependency treatment programs.

We opened the first drug treatment program in Manhattan VA. That was in 1974 when our Vietnam veterans who were addicted to drugs started coming home.

At that time, for those of us who have been in drug treatment programs who have been old warriors, we know that our medical armamentarium that were available to us were very, very few. Methadone was one of them which we used for detoxification and maintenance. For those of you who have been in this program, you know that it is effective but it is also very ineffective to many of our drug-dependent patients.

The approval of buprenorphine for use in our private offices is truly long in coming but certainly

truly welcome. In my practice, a dual diagnosis, which you have heard before, of substance abuse disorder or substance abuse or substance use disorder and mental disorder is the rule rather than the exception. Treating such patients is complicated and challenging to say the least, especially in a private practice environment.

The data from the National Institute of Mental Health Epidemiologic Area Program indicates that comorbidity or co-occurrence of substance use disorder and mental disorder is a dominant occurrence. Studies have shown that about 50 percent of adult psychiatric patients also suffer from substance use disorder.

A study from the UCLA showed the following: 50 percent of patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia suffer from substance use disorder; 27 percent of patients with unipolar disorder are also sufferers from SUD. In patients with bipolar disorder, which is a much more common mental disorder, the incidence jumps to 61 percent. This co-morbidity seems to manifest itself during the manic phase.

For primary care physicians, such as internists like me or pediatricians who treat adolescents, dual

diagnosis can be beyond our scope of training. These patients need an integrated service rather than sequential service.

Somebody mentioned here today about the importance of a team approach. If I were to treat a patient in my office and give him or her medication without the other infrastructure to support an overhaul progress and treatment of this patient, then I think I would be doomed to failure in terms of getting these patients off the drug. So I hope this training that we are about to experience in New York City will address this particular issue.

Because these patients who are either dually addicted or are dually disordered do get hospitalized because they have either infections such as hepatitis C, they do suffer from coronary artery disease -- I am a cardiologist so I know it does -- and other surgical problems, their treatment in a hospitalized setting causes several critical problems.

First, the medical culture and treatment environment is not always conducive to a positive outcome for these patients with opiate dependence. Personal

belief system and prejudices may become barriers to providing appropriate treatment to such patients. The lack of knowledge on special needs of these patients can lead to underdosing of opiates for pain control.

Because of my longstanding passion and commitment to women's health issues, another issue that is very close and dear to my heart is the treatment of pregnant women. They do have special needs in terms of medications and other services.

It has been mentioned that perhaps the misuse of prescribed controlled substances may be a bigger problem than heroin addiction, and I believe so myself. Being a physician who writes controlled substances every day, I have to admit that this has been a nightmare in terms of how I should be able to control this type of misuse and diversion of my prescription. So far I think this is an epidemic that we have not really given enough attention.

So I am here to learn, and I have learned many things this morning. I am also very excited in telling my physician members when we convene again in September that this training program will be available to all of

us.

Thank you for inviting me.

DR. CLARK: Thank you, Dr. Herrera.

Our next president would be Lawrence Brown. He is the president of the American Society of Addiction

Medicine. He is also a clinical professor of public health at the Cornell Medical College and a visiting physician at Rockefeller University Hospital. Among his responsibilities is supervising the delivery of primary care for patients with opioid addiction and conducting biomedical behavioral research studies.

In addition, Dr. Brown provides consultation to a host of private agencies, foundations, and government entities, including the National Institutes of Biology and Infectious Disease, the National Institute of Drug Abuse, the Food and Drug Administration, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Dr. Brown.

Remarks

Dr. Lawrence Brown, Jr.

DR. BROWN: My friends and colleagues who know me know that I like to bond with the audience. One way

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

to be getting that bonding is making sure that you are both and all in the same room. So, good morning.

AUDIENCE [en masse]: Good morning.

DR. BROWN: Outstanding.

I am going to speak to you from at least four perspectives. My grandmother has always told me that one of the things in public speaking is tell people what you are going to say, say what you are going to say, and then tell them what you have said and then sit down. So I hope to make my grandmother proud.

The first perspective is that I am a Brooklyn boy, and I would like to --

[Applause.]

DR. BROWN: I would like to in fact invite those individuals who reside or work in Manhattan and those individuals who reside and work outside of New York State to come to Brooklyn. We could have had a meeting.

I'm sure we would have been just as competitive as this.

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: Additionally, because of my Brooklyn roots, that is one of the reasons why I am in this field. I come from a community in New York where

unless there is some miracle, addiction will continue to be a problem. It has been a problem in the past and is a problem presently. That is probably one of the reasons why I went into it, although some of my colleagues who went through training with me probably would have never known.

What is an internist trained in endocrinology doing in this field? That is a question that I often hear either directly or more frequently indirectly because there is something about this field that people do not want to engage in. That is why I think this day is so important and in this setting makes it even more important that we bring to the forefront the issue and concern about the treatment of those with substance use disorders.

Like my colleagues, I would echo that buprenorphine is no panacea. In fact, in addiction medicine, one of my other perspectives, is the fact that it is like any other chronic potential relapsing disorders. There are different ways in which to treat patients.

We are among friends so we will share our dirty

laundry. In the addiction field when we try to fight the abstinence-based program versus the medication assistance programs, we all lose and our patients, more importantly, lose. We need to recognize that for some patients this is in fact the most effective therapy. Not for all patients but for some.

Another one of my perspectives is dealing with the Addiction and Research Treatment Corporation. I began also, like my colleagues before, in that area. So, thank the conveners of this meeting, the New York City Department of Health, CSAT, and SAMHSA for the vision that they have had in continuing to move us along. It has been a long time coming and I have not been around as long as Dr. Kleber. My gray hairs probably would tell you that as well.

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: I haven't been here as long as he has been, but it has been around.

DR. KLEBER: [Off mike.]

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: For those of you who didn't hear, he said some nondescript information not valuable.

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: In fact, one of the things that is useful about these meetings is it brings many of our colleagues together, and to that regard I certainly want to recognize many of my colleagues at the American Society of Addiction Medicine. Dr. Baxter is on our board and Dr. Fiellin has in fact provided the leadership for the training for the American Society of Addiction Medicine, which I am going to get into in a few seconds.

I am low tech because of a number of reasons.

One is I felt that it is useful for me to make sure that I bond with the audience. If I have a PowerPoint presentation, it is likely that that is going to take some of your attention when I am the reason for being in the first place.

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: So I decided to go low tech.

You might say, is he full of himself? If I am not, who is going to be for me?

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: I want to also say the fourth perspective is the American Society of Addiction

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

Medicine, which I happen to be honored by being the president of at this time. I hope they are equally as honored by me being the president. That is another story for another day.

The American Society of Addiction Medicine has been in existence since the early '50s and in fact is celebrating its 50th anniversary next year in Washington. We invite you all to attend. We think it will be memorable because at 50 you don't come to work in a night. I would attest to that myself.

It is also a medical society that is the largest medical society dealing with the addiction services. In fact, it is about 3000 physicians from all ranges of types of addiction. Its purpose is to provide access and to help to advocate for care for persons with substance use disorders. We are comprised of physicians of all specialties. As I mentioned to you, I am an internist who became involved in the American Society of Addiction Medicine. It offers a certification program that has been accepted by many third party payers and government for reimbursement for physicians who in fact have that certification.

It does also have a patient placement criteria that is used in over 27 states that is used as a variable for which areas of care, what is the mix of services that should be provided to persons with a substance use disorder. In our good State of New York, it is offered as an option for those of us who are OTPs of programs.

With respect to training, again I want to thank
David for doing such a great job. Our training program
uses investigators, people who have previously and
currently continue to investigate issues about
buprenorphine and in fact have written some of the more
stellar papers and the notable papers with respect to the
use of this in large patient populations.

In fact, most recently I myself in our agency was involved in a protocol that included bringing buprenorphine to the trenches. It has already been in ivory towers, now let's bring it to the trenches where patients want to get the care. That study is actually going to press. It had some fantastic results which I am not able to disclose to you since they will break my arm since nothing happens in New York that doesn't get outside of the city.

I also want to mention that it is a training that is a face-to-face, where these trainers actually talk about not only the scientific issue that you have heard Dr. Kleber talk about in much greater detail but also have case studies that go over what you would do in these particular types of cases.

What we have found is that, like Dr. Clark, there are not enough physicians who are involved in this care. There are a number of reasons for that. One of those is the lack of a mentoring system for physicians. We believe that that is critical because physicians who engage in this will need the mentoring, which leads me to one of my pet peeves.

It is interesting to me that a physician in an office-based setting has to go through this training whereas a physician in OTP programs like many of us run never have to go through the training -- to me, that is a travesty -- unlike our good state across the water, New Jersey, where they require some degree of training for a physician to do this. So I am letting you know I am not running for any political office, but that is one issue that I am going to in fact ask our colleagues in medicine

and our colleagues in government to in fact make New York
State more consistent with the practice of medicine.

I really do appreciate this opportunity to share this time with you. It is not often that I get an opportunity to come down to 125 Worth Street, even though you have to go through the side entrance if you don't work here.

[Laughter.]

DR. BROWN: But I understand in the aftermath of some tragedies that we have had recently that might very well explain part of that.

I want to again say to you that this is a fantastic opportunity for us. We need to encourage more physicians outside of addiction medicine to in fact provide this care because, clearly, there is unmet need there. We need to in fact have many of you who are not in the medical professions, as I trust many of you may not have that burden, that you encourage your doctors.

Ask them, what is this story about buprenorphine?

I have patients who ask me about other medications; why can't they ask about buprenorphine, what do you think, to the doctor. That often pushes a

physician to say, I might look dumb, but at the same time it may very well affect their practice patterns.

Again, I want to thank the conveners and thank you all.

DR. CLARK: Our next president is Richard Rosenthal. He is president of the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry as well as a professor of clinical psychiatry at Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons. He is also chairman of the Department of Psychiatry at St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center.

He was previously the director of the Division of Substance Abuse at Albert Einstein College of Medicine and associate chairman of clinical services at Beth Israel Medical Center.

His main areas of research have been in the development of integrated services for addicted patients with severe mental disorders as well as psychotherapy and therapy studies.

Dr. Rosenthal.

Remarks

Dr. Richard N. Rosenthal

DR. ROSENTHAL: In my clean-up, I guess I am

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

just going to try to do a whirlwind overview in about two minutes.

Why don't addicts get treatment? From the primary care perspective, my hunch is that docs don't think addiction is treatable. You are in busy practices; people are jumping through; you don't have a lot of time to spend with your patients. Docs are primarily practical people. So if you don't have an implicit belief that addiction is a treatable illness, you are not going to waste your time on it.

As I was once told during my internship, at about 4:00 in the morning I had my fifth hit coming into the emergency room. One of the nurses on the floor said, "Dr. Rosenthal, I think Mr. Jones has a fever," to which my senior resident turned to me and said, "If you don't take a temperature, you don't have a fever."

[Laughter.]

DR. ROSENTHAL: Oh good. You got that one.

So, the issue here is about identification and a belief that you can do something about it. What we are all here about today is trying to impress upon you that addiction is a treatable illness. It is not an illness

that is in a vacuum. Dr. Herrera and others talked about the high co-morbidity of mental disorders with serious medical sequelae of addiction, including HIV, hep C, et cetera, et cetera.

So these illnesses don't exist in a vacuum.

Therefore, prevention and treatment of these illnesses
has serious impact in other realms of treatment. It has
a serious impact on hospital bed occupancy because of
people being ill.

So that is the first measure here, is that addiction is a treatable disorder. Unfortunately, most people coming through training never get taught about it. Fortunately, with this particular foray into addiction treatment, with this new weapon that we have in our pharmacopeia, there is training available.

I represent a president of the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry. That is a group of about 1000 folks around the country who are researchers and office-based clinicians, who are psychiatrists and also affiliated members who are non-psychiatrists interested in treating addictions.

AAAP -- you can find our website as AAAP.org --

ASAM, the American Psychiatric Association, and the American Academy of Osteopathic Addiction Medicine all have been providing training programs under the auspices of CSAT across the country. We are going to continue to do that to get people trained so they feel comfortable and confident in treating opiate addiction in officebased practices. It is necessity if we are going to have any impact on this.

What I am trying to get you people to realize, and I have a hunch I am preaching to the converted because you are here, but you need to be emissaries. You need to go get trained if you are non-physicians. As was stated before by Dr. Brown, you need to urge the docs that you work with to get involved, to find out about buprenorphine, to start sort of getting on the gun here about the availability and reality and practicality of addiction treatment.

Once that starts to happen, you are going to start seeing changes in stigmatization. One of the things that our culture hasn't caught up to yet is the fact that addiction is a treatable illness. It is still severely stigmatized, which drives not only in terms of

access to care, which there aren't enough slots, but also people in terms of treatment seeking. Because of the stigma, people are ashamed, people don't reveal these facts as they may reveal other facts in their medical history to their primary care physician.

We need to make it accessible. We need to make it inviting. Part of that invitation is when a doc has a can-do attitude about it. When a doc says, "Oh look, you have an addiction to heroin and we can do something about this" without all of the overlay of, "Ooh, you are bad and wrong, what's the matter with you?" and all of that other stuff, but really thinking about it as a treatable illness.

So, that's what I really want you to take away from today, the fact that we have a real new addition to what we can do. It doesn't work in a vacuum. People who are getting buprenorphine are going to need access to psychosocial services. It's actually in "DATA 2000" now, that law that was mentioned before. It actually says the doc needs to show that he or she can refer people for appropriate psychosocial treatment. That, combined with buprenorphine, can make a serious impact in someone's

career as an opiate addict.

That's pretty much what I wanted to say. One of the other factors that we have had in addition to the face-to-face trainings that have been provided by the four organizations is the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry and the APA have also created a web-based course and also CDs to teach you about buprenorphine.

So, if you are interested in that, you can go to the CSAT website. You can go to the AAAP.org website and find out more about this.

The idea here is we want to train as many docs as we can. We want to get counselors and nurses and psychologists involved and interested and supportive and working with us to get the word out.

This isn't just about buprenorphine. This is the beginning of a wave that could actually make a huge sea change in our culture about how we view and treat addictions. From the public health perspective, since that is what we are really out to do, is promote the public health and reduce morbidity and mortality, here is a new way that we can really have a dent in things in a big way.

Thank you for your time.

[End of proceedings.]

Final Forum Thoughts

DR. BROWN: In terms of whether these forums are sufficient to draft physicians, I think they are part of the recipe. We are going to need other ingredients to include issues about informing and educating physicians and medical societies, and medical specialty societies.

I think it is going to be important, also, for patients to, in fact, ask questions of their physician, because if anything drives physicians, it is the questions of the patients.

DR. CLARK: The challenge remains in getting physicians aware that they can participate in addressing the issue of addiction in their practice. There may be challenges getting beyond the stigma of the system that occurs in the public setting, as was brought out by a number of the people here today.

DR. KLEBER: I thought that the turnout was terrific. We had a full house and people, in fact, got turned away. I thought that the presentations were right to the point.

DR. BROWN: With respect to SAMHSA, or the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, they have done a splendid job of getting the word out. They have decided that we have this opportunity, let's not mess it up, let's not miss it, by putting it out in the streets, in professional circles as well as in the public, to make sure that everyone is aware that it exists.

Then it's a matter for the rest of us to, in fact, come to the table. I think we will do that with the continued encouragement of government, both federal, city, and state, as well as private industry.

DR. CLARK: Continuing our efforts at educating primary care physicians and looking at the professional community as well as looking at counselors, pharmacists, nurses, and others so that they know about the availability of buprenorphine.

DR. KLEBER: The last I heard, there were about 2,000 physicians nationally who had received a waiver. When we were talking about it a couple years ago, we had hoped that by now there would be about 5,000. So we are not where we want to be yet, and we need to redouble our efforts to get there.

DR. CLARK: These forums give the community an opportunity to raise their issues and raise their concerns, and they give us an opportunity not only to address those concerns but to catalogue them.

DR. KLEBER: I think we have to try a whole variety of approaches, including forums such as these, including what I heard this morning when I turned on NPR — there was a program on buprenorphine — until we have more physicians trained, until the major newspapers run articles. I was pleased to see that there was a reporter here today from the New York Times.

So, we need not one approach, we need a whole variety of approaches to try and get both physicians interested and opiate addicts interested in receiving the drug.

One of the challenges is going to be getting the non-addiction specialists involved in treating patients with buprenorphine. That is going to involve two things. One, showing them that they already have people that could benefit from it in their practice. These are the people that are being maintained on prescription opioids such as hydrocodone, oxycodone, and

who would probably do better being maintained on buprenorphine.

My hope is that once they get some experience in maintaining these patients on buprenorphine, they will then be willing to consider other people in their practices that could benefit. They need to start looking and saying, well, maybe I really haven't been doing as much as I could to investigate whether such-and-such a patient may be having problems with opiate addiction, and maybe that's why they are not doing as well with their diabetes or with taking their anti-AIDS medication, or their other medication. We know that if people are addicted they often get very sloppy in the way they take medications.

So, after they have some successes under their belt with patients they are familiar with and know that they are addicted to opioid analgesics, my hope is they will then reach out, start to think about addiction, and look in their practice as well as new patients that they might be able to help.

[End of tape.]

22 + + +